

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,297	07/01/2003	Roger O'Neill	7159	3184
7590 02/11/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID A. LOWIN			SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A	
P.O. Box 62053	35			
Woodside, CA 94062-0535			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/612,297	O'NEILL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Taofiq A. Solola	1626			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	——————————————————————————————————————	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,297

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1-18 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7, 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The structures represented by the term "FETL" must be shown in every occurrence in the claims. Applicant cannot claim all or any known FETL compounds that may be applicable in the instant invention because the specification fails to state that all or any known FETL compounds is/are applicable in the invention. Therefore, the specification fails to provide adequate support for the claims. Additionally, FETL is a critical element of the invention and therefore must be disclosed in the claims. See the MPEP. By adding claim 8 to claim 1 the rejection would be overcome.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,297

Art Unit: 1626

For reasons set forth above under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, claims 1-7, 10-18 are indefinite.

Claim 18 recite FETL1-4, having substituents such as "D1-R10", wherein "R10 is D1-C(O)-". It is not clear if applicant is claiming "D1-R10" is "D1-D1-C(O)-". Claims 8-9 are confusing and therefore indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

"An compound" on line 1, claim 10 is idiomatic and therefore the claim is indefinite.

Claims 13-14 are omnibus claims as they are drawn to no specific purpose. The claims are assay for what? What is the relationship between measurement of D2 emission and the purpose of the assay? Claims 15-18 are "proximate assay" but as written there is no relationship between measurement of reporter dye emission and the "proximity" in line 1. How is the proximity determined? Appropriate correction is required.

## Figure Drawing

The Datasheet in this application indicates four drawing sheets were filed. However, none is in the case as at this time. Applicant should be please file new copies.

## Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD., JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

TAOFIQ SOLOLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Group 1626

January 18, 2005